

QUASI IDEALS & BI-IDEALS IN TERNARY Γ -SO-SEMIRINGS

Dr.V.Amarendra Babu¹, M.Ankarao², Bhagyalakshmi Kothuru³,

¹*Department of Mathematics, AcharyaNagarjuna University.*

²*Department of Mathematics(PG), J.K.C College,*

³*Department of Mathematics, KKR&KSR Institute of Technology &Sciences
Vinjanampadu*

Abstract: “The set of all partial functions over a set under a natural addition, functional composition and functional relation on the, forms a Γ -SO-ring. The concepts of quasi ideals, minimal quasi ideals, prime bi-ideal, semi prime bi-ideals in ternary Γ -SO-ring are introduced.”

Mathematical subject classification: 16Y60

Keywords: “prime bi-ideal, semiprime bi-ideal, irreducible, strongly irreducible bi-ideal, Ternary Γ -SO semiring”

1. Introduction:

IBI.Irreduciblesemiprime bi-ideal is denoted by ISPBI”.

2. Prerequisites:

The following are the prerequisites for this paper.“The notion of bi-ideal is introduced by Good and Hughes. Bi-ideals in Ternary semigroups are studied by Dixit and Dewan. In 1981 the concept of Γ - semigroup as generalization of semi group introduced by Sen. H.S Vandiver develops the theory of semi ring in 1934. The notion of Γ - semirings was introduced by M.MuralikrishnaRao in 1995.

Some classical notion of ternary Γ - SO semirings are introduced in this paper.In 2019 K.Bhagyalakshmi and Dr.V.AmarendraBabu developed ideal theory in Ternary Γ -SO semirings.In this paper we introduce the notions of irreducible, strongly irreducible bi-ideals of Ternary Γ -SO semirings and obtain characterizations of prime, semiprime, irreducible and strongly irreducible bi-ideals in regular Ternary Γ -SO semiring. Throughout this paper Ternary Γ -SO semiring is denoted by Γ SS, Complete Ternary Γ -SO semiring is denoted by Γ CTSS, bi-ideal is denoted by BI, prime ideal by PI, prime bi-ideal is denoted by PBI, semiprime bi-ideal is denoted by SPBI, Irreducible bi-ideal is denoted by IBI.

Definition2.1: “A *partial Γ -monoid* is a triple (R, Γ, Σ) where R, Γ are non-empty sets and Σ is a partial addition defined on some but not necessarily all families $(a_i : i \in I)$ in R with the following laws:

- 1) **Unary sum axiom:** If $(a_i : i \in I)$ is a one element family in R and $I = \{j\}$ then $\sum(a_i : i \in I)$ is defined and equal to a_j .
- 2) **Partition Associative axiom:** If $(a_i : i \in I)$ is a family in R and $(a_j : j \in I)$ is a partition of I , then $(a_i : i \in I)$ is sum-able if and only if $(a_i : i \in I_j)$ is sum-able for every j in J , $(\sum(a_i : i \in I_j) : j \in J)$ is sum-able and $\sum(a_i : i \in I) = \sum(\sum(a_i : i \in I_j) : j \in J)$."

Definition 2.2: "Let M, Γ be partial Γ -monoids then M is said to be *partial ternary gamma semiring* provided \exists a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) $x\alpha y\beta(z\delta p\gamma q) = x\alpha(y\beta z\delta p)\gamma q = (x\alpha y\beta z)\delta p\gamma q$
- 2) a family $(a_i : i \in I)$ is sum-able in M implies that $(x\alpha y\beta a_i : \text{for odd } i \in I)$ is sum-able in M and $x\alpha y\beta \left[\sum(a_i : i \in I) \right] = \sum(x\alpha y\beta a_i : \text{for odd } i \in I)$
- 3) family $(a_i : i \in I)$ is sum able in M implies that $(x\alpha a_i \beta y : \text{for odd } i \in I)$ is sum able in M and $x\alpha \left[\sum(a_i : i \in I) \right] \beta y = \sum(x\alpha a_i \beta y : \text{for odd } i \in I)$
- 4) family $(a_i : i \in I)$ is sum able in M implies that $(a_i \alpha x \beta y : \text{for odd } i \in I)$ is sum able in M and $\left[\sum(a_i : i \in I) \right] \alpha x \beta y = \sum(a_i \alpha x \beta y : \text{for odd } i \in I)$ "

Definition 2.3: "A partial ternary Γ -semiring said to have a left (lateral, right) unity element provided there exist a family $(e_i : i \in I)$ of M and $(\alpha_i, \beta_i : i \in I)$ of $\Gamma \ni$

$$\sum e_i \alpha_i e_i \beta_i a = a \left(\sum e_i \alpha_i a \beta_i e_i = a, \sum a \alpha_i e_i \beta_i e_i = a \right) \text{ for any } a \in M."$$

Definition 2.4: "The sum ordering relation \leq in partially ternary Γ -monoid M is the binary relation such that $a \leq b$ iff there exist an element c in M such that $b = a + c \forall a, b \in M$."

Definition 2.5: "A *sum ordered partially ternary Γ -monoid (ternary Γ -so-monoid)* in which partial sum ordering is a partial ordering."

Definition 2.6: "A partial ternary Γ -semiring M is said to be *sum ordered partial ternary Γ -semiring (Ternary Γ -SO-semiring)* if the partial Γ -monoid is SO- Γ -monoid."

Definition 2.7: "Let M be a partial ternary Γ -semiring. A non-empty subset of M is said to be *left (Lateral, right) partial ternary Γ -ideal* of M provided

- (i) $(a_i : i \in I)$ is a sum able family of M and $x_i \in A$ for all $i \in I$ implies $\sum_i x_i \in A$
- (ii) for all $x, y \in M, z \in A$ implies that $z\alpha x\beta y \in A$ ($x\alpha z\beta y \in A, x\alpha y\beta z \in A$)

If A is left, lateral and right partial ternary Γ -ideal of M , then A is called partial ternary Γ -ideal of M ."

Definition 2.8: "Let M be a ternary Γ -SO-semiring. A non-empty subset A of M is said to be a *left (lateral, right) ternary Γ -ideal* of M , if it satisfies the following:

- (i) A is a left (lateral, right) partial ternary Γ -ideal of M .
- (ii) $x \in M$ and $y \in A$ such that $x \leq y$ then $x \in A$.

If A is left, lateral as well as right ternary Γ -ideal of M , then A is known as ternary Γ -ideal of M ."

Definition 2.9: "Let M be a ternary Γ -SO-semiring and A be a subset of M , then the intersection of all ternary Γ -ideals containing the set A is called *ternary Γ -ideal generated by A* and it is denoted by (A) ."

Definition 2.10: “A Ternary Γ -SO-semiring M is said to be *complete ternary Γ -so-semiring* if every family of elements in M is sum able.”

Definition 2.11: “A proper ideal P of a ternary Γ -SO-semiring M is known as *prime* if and only if for any ideals R, S, T of M , $R\Gamma S\Gamma T \subseteq P \Rightarrow R \subseteq P$ or $S \subseteq P$ or $T \subseteq P$.”

Definition 2.12: “A proper ideal P of a ternary Γ -SO-semiring M is known as *semiprime* if and only if for any ideals R of M , $R\Gamma R\Gamma R \subseteq P \Rightarrow R \subseteq P$ ”.

Definition 2.13: “A non-empty subset A of a Γ -SO-ring R is said to be Γ -sub SO-ring if

- (i) A is a sub-SO monoid of R
- (ii) $A\Gamma A\Gamma A \subseteq A$ ”

Definition 2.14: A TFSS N is said to be zero divisor free (ZDF) if for $l, m, n \in N, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$
 $[l\alpha m\beta n] = 0 \Rightarrow l=0$ or $m=0$ or $n=0$.

Definition 2.15: A TFSS N is said to be multiplicatively left Γ -cancellative (MLC) if $l\Gamma m\Gamma u = l\Gamma m\Gamma v$ implies that $u=v$ for all $l, m, u, v \in N$

Definition 2.16: A TFSS N is said to be multiplicatively laterally Γ -cancellative (MLLC) if $l\Gamma u\Gamma m = l\Gamma v\Gamma m$ implies that $u=v$ for all $l, m, u, v \in N$.

Definition 2.17: A TFSS N is said to be multiplicatively right Γ -cancellative (MRC) if $u\Gamma l\Gamma m = v\Gamma l\Gamma m$ implies that $u=v$ for all $l, m, u, v \in N$

Definition 2.18: A TFSS N is called as multiplicatively Γ -cancellative (MC) if it is multiplicative left Γ -cancellative (MLC), multiplicative right Γ -cancellative (MRC) & multiplicative laterally Γ -cancellative (MLLC).

Theorem 2.19: A multiplicative Γ -cancellative TFSS N is zero divisor free.

Quasi-Ideals in Ternary Γ -SO-semiring:

Definition 3.01: An additive subsemigroup M of a TFSS N is said to be a quasi ideal of N if $(M\Gamma N\Gamma N) \cap (N\Gamma M\Gamma N + N\Gamma N\Gamma M\Gamma N\Gamma N) \cap (N\Gamma N\Gamma M) \subseteq M$.

Note 3.02: Every QI of a TFSS N is a Ternary subsemiring of N .

Lemma 3.03: “Every left, right & middle (or lateral) ideal of TFSS N is a QI of N ”.

Remark 3.04: “The converse of lemma 3.3 is not true; in general, that is, a quasi ternary Γ -ideal may not be a left, a right, or a lateral ternary Γ -ideal of N . This follows from the following example.”

Example 3.05: “Let $M_2(Z_0^-)$ be the TFSS of the set of all 2×2 square matrices over Z_0^- , the set of all non positive integers and Γ be the set of all 2×2 square matrices over Z_0^- , the set of all negative integers. Let $W = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} : a \in Z_0^- \right\}$ then we can easily verify that W is a quasi ternary Γ -ideal of N , but W is not a right ternary Γ -ideal, a lateral Γ -ideal or left ternary Γ -ideal of N ”.

Theorem 3.06: If M is a QI of TFSS N & O is a ternary sub semiring of N then $N \cap O$ is a QI of O .

Lemma 3.07: The arbitrary intersection of QI of a TFSS N is a QI of N .

Theorem3.08: “An additive subsemigroup M of a TSS N is a QI of N if M is the intersection of right ideal, a lateral ideal & a left ideal of N”.

Proof: Let I, J, K be a (right/lateral/left ideals), of $N \ni M = I \cap J \cap K$. By lemma 3.03 & lemma 3.07, we conclude that M is a QI of N.

The contrary of Th3.08 does not hold, in general. Then in particular the following result we have.

Theorem3.09: “An additive subsemigroup M of a TSS N is a minimal quasi ideal of N iff M is the intersection of a minimal right ideal, a minimal lateral ideal & a minimal left ideal of N”.

Proof: Let I, J, K (minimal right/ minimal lateral/minimal left ideals) of $N \ni M = I \cap J \cap K$.

Then by Th 3.8, it follows that M is a QI of N. Now it remains to P.T M is minimal. If possible let $M' \subseteq M$ be any other QI of N. Then $M' \Gamma N \Gamma N$ is a right ideal of N &

$M' \Gamma N \Gamma N \subseteq M \Gamma N \Gamma N \subseteq I \Gamma N \Gamma N \subseteq I$. \therefore I is a minimal right ideal of N, we have $M' \Gamma N \Gamma N = I$.

Similar we can Prove that $N \Gamma M' \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma M' \Gamma N = I$ & $N \Gamma N \Gamma M' = I$

$\therefore M = I \cap J \cap K \Rightarrow M' \Gamma N \Gamma N \cap (N \Gamma M' \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma M' \Gamma N) \cap N \Gamma N \Gamma M' \subseteq M'$.

Consequently, $M = M'$ & thus Q is a minimal QI of N.

Conversely, let M be a minimal QI of N then

$M \Gamma N \Gamma N \cap (N \Gamma M \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma M \Gamma N) \cap N \Gamma N \Gamma M \subseteq M$

Let $m \in M$ then $m \Gamma N \Gamma N$ is a right ideal, $(N \Gamma m \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma m \Gamma N)$ is a middle ideal &

$N \Gamma N \Gamma m$ is a left ideal of N. \therefore by theorem 7.8,

$m \Gamma N \Gamma N \cap (N \Gamma m \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma m \Gamma N) \cap N \Gamma N \Gamma m \subseteq M \Gamma N \Gamma N \cap (N \Gamma M \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma M \Gamma N) \cap N \Gamma N \Gamma M \subseteq M$

\therefore M is a minimal quasi-ideal of N, we have

$m \Gamma N \Gamma N \cap (N \Gamma m \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma m \Gamma N) \cap N \Gamma N \Gamma m = M$

Now to show that $m \Gamma N \Gamma N$, $(N \Gamma m \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma m \Gamma N)$ & $N \Gamma N \Gamma m$ are respectively minimal right, a minimal lateral & a minimal left ideal of N. If possible, let I be any right ideal of N \ni

$I \subseteq m \Gamma N \Gamma N$ then $I \Gamma N \Gamma N \subseteq I \subseteq m \Gamma N \Gamma N$. Now

$I \Gamma N \Gamma N \cap (N \Gamma m \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma m \Gamma N) \cap N \Gamma N \Gamma m \subseteq m \Gamma N \Gamma N \cap (N \Gamma m \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma m \Gamma N) \cap N \Gamma N \Gamma m \subseteq M$

Thus by minimality of M, we find that $M = I \Gamma N \Gamma N \cap (N \Gamma m \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma m \Gamma N) \cap N \Gamma N \Gamma m$

$\Rightarrow M \subseteq I \Gamma N \Gamma N$, again $m \Gamma N \Gamma N \subseteq M \Gamma N \Gamma N \subseteq (I \Gamma N \Gamma N) \Gamma N \Gamma N \subseteq I \Gamma N \Gamma N$

Thus $m \Gamma N \Gamma N = I \Gamma N \Gamma N \subseteq I$ & thus $I = m \Gamma N \Gamma N$. Consequently, $m \Gamma N \Gamma N$ is a minimal right ideal

of N. Similarly we can prove that $(N \Gamma m \Gamma N + N \Gamma N \Gamma m \Gamma N)$ is a minimal lateral ideal and

$N \Gamma N \Gamma m$ is a minimal left ideal of N.

Proposition3.10: “Any minimal lateral ideal of a TSS H is a minimal ideal of H”.

Proof: Let Q be a minimal lateral ideal of H. We shall S.T Q is a minimal ideal of H. Let

Let $q \in Q$ then $(H \Gamma q \Gamma H + H \Gamma H \Gamma q \Gamma H \Gamma H)$ is a lateral ideal of H &

$(H \Gamma q \Gamma H + H \Gamma H \Gamma q \Gamma H \Gamma H) \subseteq (H \Gamma Q \Gamma H + H \Gamma H \Gamma Q \Gamma H \Gamma H) \subseteq Q$

\therefore Q is minimal, we have $Q = (H \Gamma q \Gamma H + H \Gamma H \Gamma q \Gamma H \Gamma H)$ now

$Q \Gamma H \Gamma H = (H \Gamma q \Gamma H + H \Gamma H \Gamma q \Gamma H \Gamma H) \Gamma H \Gamma H = (H \Gamma q \Gamma H) \Gamma H \Gamma H + (H \Gamma H \Gamma q \Gamma H \Gamma H) \Gamma H \Gamma H$

$\subseteq H \Gamma q \Gamma H + H \Gamma H \Gamma q \Gamma H \Gamma H \subseteq Q$ & $H \Gamma H \Gamma Q = H \Gamma H \Gamma (H \Gamma q \Gamma H + H \Gamma H \Gamma q \Gamma H \Gamma H)$

$\subseteq H \Gamma q \Gamma H + H \Gamma H \Gamma q \Gamma H \Gamma H \subseteq Q$.

$\Rightarrow Q$ is a right ideal & also left ideal of N . Also Q is a lateral ideal of N . Thus Q is an ideal of N . To P.T Q is a minimal ideal of N . If possible, let Q' be an ideal of $N \ni Q' \subseteq Q$. $\because Q'$ is an ideal of N , it is a lateral ideal of N . By assumption, thus $Q' = Q$.

So Q is a minimal ideal of N .

Corollary 3.11: "Any minimal quasi-ideal of a TFSS N is contained in a minimal ideal of N ."

Proof: Let M be a minimal quasi-ideal of N . Then by theorem 3.9 $M = I \cap J \cap K$, where I is a minimal right ideal, J is a minimal lateral ideal & L a minimal left ideal of N . Clearly $M \subseteq Q$ from Th.3.10, it follows that M is a minimal ideal of N .

Proposition 3.12: Let g be an idempotent element of a TFSS N . If I is a right ideal, J is a lateral ideal & K a left ideal of N then $I\Gamma g\Gamma g$, $g\Gamma g\Gamma J\Gamma g\Gamma g$ & $g\Gamma g\Gamma K$ are quasi ideals of N .

Proof: To show $I\Gamma g\Gamma g$, $g\Gamma g\Gamma J\Gamma g\Gamma g$ & $g\Gamma g\Gamma K$ are quasi-ideals of N , it is sufficient to show, $I\Gamma g\Gamma g = I \cap (N\Gamma g\Gamma N + N\Gamma N\Gamma g\Gamma N\Gamma N) \cap N\Gamma N\Gamma g$, $g\Gamma g\Gamma J\Gamma g\Gamma g = g\Gamma N\Gamma N \cap J \cap N\Gamma N\Gamma g$ & $g\Gamma g\Gamma K = g\Gamma N\Gamma N \cap (N\Gamma g\Gamma N + N\Gamma N\Gamma g\Gamma N\Gamma N) \cap K$ for the first case, it is clear that, $I\Gamma g\Gamma g \subseteq I \cap N\Gamma N\Gamma g$. Let $b \in I \cap N\Gamma N\Gamma g$ then $b \in I$ & $b \in N\Gamma N\Gamma g$. Now

$$b \in N\Gamma N\Gamma g \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n s\alpha t\beta g \text{ for some } s, t \in N, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$$

$$b\Gamma N\Gamma g = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n s\alpha t\beta g \right) \gamma g \delta g \text{ for some } s, t \in N, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n s\alpha t\beta (g\gamma g\delta g) \text{ for some } s, t \in N, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n s\alpha t\beta g = b \text{ it follows that } b \in I\Gamma g\Gamma g \text{ & hence } I\Gamma g\Gamma g = I \cap N\Gamma N\Gamma g. \text{ Again}$$

$b = b\Gamma g\Gamma g \in N\Gamma g\Gamma g$ & $0 \in N\Gamma N\Gamma g\Gamma N\Gamma N$. So we find that $b \in N\Gamma g\Gamma g + N\Gamma N\Gamma g\Gamma N\Gamma N$.

Consequently, $I\Gamma g\Gamma g = I \cap (N\Gamma g\Gamma N + N\Gamma N\Gamma g\Gamma N\Gamma N) \cap N\Gamma N\Gamma g$ for the second case, we see that $g\Gamma g\Gamma J\Gamma g\Gamma g \subseteq g\Gamma N\Gamma N \cap J \cap N\Gamma N\Gamma g$. Let $b \in g\Gamma N\Gamma N \cap J \cap N\Gamma N\Gamma g$ then

$b \in g\Gamma N\Gamma N, b \in J$ & $b \in N\Gamma N\Gamma g$ now $b \in g\Gamma N\Gamma N$ & $g \in N\Gamma N\Gamma g$

$$\Rightarrow b = \sum_{i=1}^m g\alpha_i s_i \beta_i t_i \text{ for some } s_i, t_i \in N, \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \Gamma$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \alpha_j v_j \beta_j g \text{ for some } u_j, v_j \in N, \alpha_j, \beta_j \in \Gamma$$

$$\therefore g\Gamma g\Gamma b\Gamma g\Gamma g = g\Gamma g\Gamma \left(\sum_{i=1}^m g\alpha_i s_i \beta_i t_i \right) \Gamma g\Gamma g$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m (g\Gamma g\Gamma g) \alpha_i s_i \beta_i t_i \right) \Gamma g\Gamma g$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m (g\alpha_i s_i \beta_i t_i) \right) \Gamma g\Gamma g$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n (u_j \alpha_j v_j \beta_j g) \Gamma g\Gamma g$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \alpha_j v_j \beta_j g = b$$

Consequently $b \in g\Gamma g\Gamma J\Gamma g\Gamma g$ & $g\Gamma g\Gamma J\Gamma g\Gamma g = g\Gamma N\Gamma N \cap J \cap N\Gamma N\Gamma g$

Theorem3.13: “If for every quasi ideal M of N , $M\Gamma M\Gamma M = M$ then N is a regular TFSS”.

Proof: If I, J, K is (minimal right / minimal / minimal left) ideals of N . Then by Th.3.9 it follows that $I \cap J \cap K$ is a quasi-ideal of N . Now by hypothesis,

$$I \cap J \cap K = (I \cap J \cap K)\Gamma(I \cap J \cap K)\Gamma(I \cap J \cap K) \subseteq I\Gamma J\Gamma K$$

Clearly, $I\Gamma J\Gamma K \subseteq I \cap J \cap K$. So $I\Gamma J\Gamma K = I \cap J \cap K$, by known theorem regular TFSS

Lemma3.14: “Every quasi-ideal of a TFSS Q is a bi-ideal of Q ”.

Proof: Let W be a Quasi-ideal of Q then we see that

$$W\Gamma Q\Gamma Q\Gamma Q\Gamma W \subseteq W\Gamma(Q\Gamma Q\Gamma Q) \subseteq W\Gamma Q\Gamma Q$$

$$W\Gamma Q\Gamma W\Gamma Q\Gamma W \subseteq Q\Gamma(Q\Gamma Q\Gamma Q)\Gamma W \subseteq Q\Gamma Q\Gamma W \text{ \& }$$

$$W\Gamma Q\Gamma W\Gamma Q\Gamma W \subseteq Q\Gamma Q\Gamma W\Gamma Q\Gamma Q$$

$$\text{Again } \{0\} \subseteq Q\Gamma Q\Gamma W \Rightarrow W\Gamma Q\Gamma W\Gamma Q\Gamma W \subseteq Q\Gamma Q\Gamma W + Q\Gamma Q\Gamma W\Gamma Q\Gamma Q$$

Consequently, it follows that

$$\Rightarrow W\Gamma Q\Gamma W\Gamma Q\Gamma W \subseteq W\Gamma Q\Gamma Q \cap (Q\Gamma W\Gamma Q + Q\Gamma Q\Gamma W\Gamma Q\Gamma Q) \cap Q\Gamma Q\Gamma W \subseteq W$$

Thus W is a BI of Q .

Note3.15: “The converse of above lemma does not hold, in general that is a bi-ideal of a TFSS N may not be a quasi-ideal of N .”

Remark3.16: “Every left, right and lateral ideal of N is a quasi ideal of N , it follows that every left, right & lateral ideal of N is a bi-ideal of N but the converse is not true in general.”

Proposition3.17: If D is a BI of a TFSS N & U is a Ternary sub semiring of N , then $D \cap U$ is a BI of U .

Lemma3.18: If D is a BI of a TFSS N & U_1, U_2 are two ternary subsemirings of N , then

$$D\Gamma U_1\Gamma U_2 \text{ \& } U_1\Gamma D\Gamma U_2 \text{ \& } U_1\Gamma U_2\Gamma D \text{ are bi-ideals of } N.$$

Corollary3.19: If D_1, D_2 & D_3 are three bi-ideals of a TFSS N then $D_1\Gamma D_2\Gamma D_3$ is a bi-ideal of N .

Corollary3.20: If W_1, W_2 & W_3 are three quasi-ideals of a TFSS N then $W_1\Gamma W_2\Gamma W_3$ is a BI of N .

In general, if D is a BI of a TFSS N & E is a BI of D then E is not a BI of N . Particularly the result as follows.

Theorem3.21: “Let D be a bi-ideal of a TFSS N & G is a bi-ideal of $D \ni G\Gamma G\Gamma G = G$ then G is a bi-ideal of N ”.

Proof: $\because D$ is a BI of N , $D\Gamma N\Gamma D\Gamma N\Gamma D \subseteq D$ & $\because G$ is a bi-ideal of D , $G\Gamma D\Gamma G\Gamma D\Gamma G \subseteq G$

$$\because G\Gamma N\Gamma G\Gamma N\Gamma G = (G\Gamma G\Gamma G)\Gamma N\Gamma G\Gamma N\Gamma (G\Gamma G\Gamma G)$$

$$= G\Gamma G\Gamma (G\Gamma N\Gamma G\Gamma N\Gamma G)\Gamma G\Gamma G \subseteq G\Gamma G\Gamma (D\Gamma N\Gamma D\Gamma N\Gamma D)\Gamma G\Gamma G \subseteq G\Gamma G\Gamma D\Gamma G\Gamma G$$

$$= G\Gamma G\Gamma D\Gamma G\Gamma (G\Gamma G\Gamma G) \subseteq G\Gamma (G\Gamma D\Gamma G\Gamma D\Gamma G)\Gamma G \subseteq G\Gamma G\Gamma G = G$$

Thus G is a BI of N .

Theorem3.22: “A TFSS N has no non-zero proper BI if N is a ternary division semiring”.

Pf: Let D be a Ternary division semiring & B be a non-zero BI of D . Let

$$b(\neq 0) \in B, \exists n(\neq 0) \in D \ni b\alpha n\beta y = nab\beta y = yab\beta n = y\alpha n\beta b = y \forall y \in D$$

$$\Rightarrow D = B\Gamma D\Gamma D = D\Gamma D\Gamma B, \text{ now } \Rightarrow D = B\Gamma D\Gamma D = B\Gamma (D\Gamma D\Gamma B)\Gamma (D\Gamma D\Gamma B)$$

$$= B\Gamma (B\Gamma D\Gamma D)\Gamma (D\Gamma B\Gamma D)\Gamma (D\Gamma D\Gamma B)\Gamma B$$

$$\subseteq B\Gamma (B\Gamma D\Gamma B\Gamma D\Gamma B)\Gamma B \subseteq B\Gamma B\Gamma B \subseteq B$$

So, $B=D$ & therefore B has no non-zero proper bi-ideal.

The contrary of the above Theorem need not be true.

Theorem 3.23: "A TSS N is a ternary division semiring if N is MC & has no non-zero proper bi-ideal".

Pf: Let N be a MC TSS & has no non-zero proper bi-ideal. If $n(\neq 0) \in N$ then

$n\Gamma N\Gamma y$ & $y\Gamma n\Gamma N$ are two bi-ideals of N for any non-zero $y \in N$. $\because N$ is MC, it is ZDF.

$\therefore n\Gamma N\Gamma y \neq \{0\}$ & $y\Gamma n\Gamma N \neq \{0\}$. By hypothesis, we have $n\Gamma N\Gamma y = y\Gamma n\Gamma N = N$ & hence for

$y(\neq 0) \in N, \exists b, c \in N \exists \alpha\beta\gamma = y\alpha n\beta c = y$. Let c be any element of $N, \exists d, e \in N \exists$

$n\gamma d\delta y = y\gamma n\delta e = c$. Thus

$n\alpha\beta\gamma c = n\alpha\beta\gamma(y\gamma n\delta e) = (n\alpha\beta\gamma)\gamma n\delta e = y\gamma n\delta e = c \forall c \in N, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \text{ & } \delta \in \Gamma$

Similarly we can show $S.T \alpha n\beta = c\alpha\beta n = c \forall c \in N$

\therefore we find that $n\alpha\beta\gamma c = c\alpha n\beta b = c\alpha\beta n = c \forall c \in N$.

$\therefore N$ is a ternary division semiring.

Theorem 3.24: "Let I, J & K be three ternary subsemirings of a TSS M & $D = I\Gamma J\Gamma K$ then D is a bi-ideal if at least one I, J, K is a right or a lateral or a left ideal of M ".

Proof: Let $D = I\Gamma J\Gamma K$. Suppose I is a right ideal of M .

Then we find that

$$(I\Gamma J\Gamma K)\Gamma M\Gamma (I\Gamma J\Gamma K)\Gamma M\Gamma (I\Gamma J\Gamma K) = I\Gamma (M\Gamma M\Gamma M)\Gamma (M\Gamma M\Gamma M)\Gamma M\Gamma M\Gamma J\Gamma K \\ \subseteq I\Gamma (M\Gamma M\Gamma M)\Gamma M\Gamma J\Gamma K \subseteq (I\Gamma M\Gamma M)\Gamma J\Gamma K \subseteq I\Gamma J\Gamma K$$

Thus $D = I\Gamma J\Gamma K$ is a bi-ideal of M .

Now presume that J is a right ideal of M . Then

$$(I\Gamma J\Gamma K)\Gamma M\Gamma (I\Gamma J\Gamma K)\Gamma M\Gamma (I\Gamma J\Gamma K) \subseteq I\Gamma J\Gamma (M\Gamma M\Gamma M)\Gamma (M\Gamma M\Gamma M)\Gamma M\Gamma M\Gamma K \\ \subseteq I\Gamma J\Gamma (M\Gamma M\Gamma M)\Gamma M\Gamma K \subseteq I\Gamma (J\Gamma M\Gamma M)\Gamma K \subseteq I\Gamma J\Gamma K$$

$\Rightarrow D = I\Gamma J\Gamma K$ is a bi-ideal of M .

Again, if K is a right ideal of M , then

$$(I\Gamma J\Gamma K)\Gamma M\Gamma (I\Gamma J\Gamma K)\Gamma M\Gamma (I\Gamma J\Gamma K) \subseteq (I\Gamma J\Gamma K)\Gamma (M\Gamma M\Gamma M)\Gamma (M\Gamma M\Gamma M)\Gamma M\Gamma M \\ \subseteq (I\Gamma J\Gamma K)\Gamma (M\Gamma M\Gamma M)\Gamma M \subseteq I\Gamma J\Gamma (K\Gamma M\Gamma M) \subseteq I\Gamma J\Gamma K$$

Consequently, $D = I\Gamma J\Gamma K$ is a BI of M .

Other cases are also proved in a similar manner.

Corollary 3.25: "A Ternary Γ subsemiring D of N is a BI of N if $D = I\Gamma J\Gamma K$, Where I is a right ideal, J is a lateral ideal & K is a left ideal of N ".

Theorem 3.26: "Let D be a ternary Γ subsemiring of a TSS N . If I is a right ideal, J is a lateral ideal & K is a left ideal of $N \exists I\Gamma J\Gamma K \subseteq D \subseteq I \cap J \cap K$ then

$$D\Gamma N\Gamma D\Gamma N\Gamma D \subseteq (I \cap J \cap K)\Gamma N\Gamma (I \cap J \cap K)\Gamma N\Gamma (I \cap J \cap K) \\ \subseteq I\Gamma (N\Gamma J\Gamma N)\Gamma K \subseteq I\Gamma J\Gamma K \subseteq D$$

Thus D is a BI of N . Description of a regular ternary semiring N in terms of BI & QI of N is as follows".

Theorem 3.27: "In a TSS N the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) N is regular
- (ii) For every BI B of $N, B\Gamma N\Gamma B\Gamma N\Gamma B = B$
- (iii) For every QI W of $N, W\Gamma N\Gamma W\Gamma N\Gamma W = W$."

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Suppose N is regular. Let B be a BI of N . Let $b \in B \exists y \in N \exists b = b\alpha y\beta b$.

$$b = b\alpha y\beta b\gamma y\delta b \in B\Gamma N\Gamma B\Gamma N\Gamma B$$

Thus we find that $B \subseteq B\Gamma N\Gamma B\Gamma N\Gamma B$

$\therefore B$ is a BI of N , $B\Gamma N\Gamma B\Gamma N\Gamma B \subseteq B$

Consequently we have $B = B\Gamma N\Gamma B\Gamma N\Gamma B$.

Clearly (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

(iii) \Rightarrow (i)

Suppose (iii) holds. Let I be a right ideal, J a lateral ideal & K a left ideal of N .

Then $W = I \cap J \cap K$ is a QI of N , by theorem 3.8, by hypothesis, $W\Gamma N\Gamma W\Gamma N\Gamma W = W$

Now $I \cap J \cap K = W = W\Gamma N\Gamma W\Gamma N\Gamma W \subseteq I\Gamma N\Gamma J\Gamma N\Gamma K \subseteq I\Gamma J\Gamma K$

Again clearly $I\Gamma J\Gamma K \subseteq I \cap J \cap K$ so $I\Gamma J\Gamma K = I \cap J \cap K$

And hence by known Th, N is a regular TFSS.

Theorem 3.28: A Ternary subsemiring S of a regular TFSS N is a BI of $N \Leftrightarrow S = S\Gamma N\Gamma S$

Proof: If $S = S\Gamma N\Gamma S$, then S is a BI of N .

On the contrary, suppose that S is a BI of regular TFSS N . Let $s \in S \exists y \in N \ni s = s\alpha y\beta s$

$\Rightarrow s \in S\Gamma N\Gamma S$ & hence $S \subseteq S\Gamma N\Gamma S$

Again, $S\Gamma N\Gamma S \subseteq S\Gamma N\Gamma S\Gamma N\Gamma S \subseteq S$

$\therefore S \subseteq S\Gamma N\Gamma S$

Theorem 3.29: "A Ternary subsemiring D of a regular TFSS V is a bi-ideal of V iff D is QI of V ".

Proof: Let V be a regular TFSS. If D is QI of V then it follows that D is a BI of V .

Conversely, Let D be a bi-ideal of V . By known theorem, we find that if V is a regular TFSS, then $I \cap J \cap K = I\Gamma J\Gamma K$ for any right ideal I , any lateral ideal J & any left ideal K .

Now $D\Gamma V\Gamma V \cap (V\Gamma D\Gamma V + V\Gamma V\Gamma D\Gamma V\Gamma V) \cap V\Gamma V\Gamma D$

$$= D\Gamma V\Gamma V\Gamma (V\Gamma D\Gamma V + V\Gamma V\Gamma D\Gamma V\Gamma V)\Gamma V\Gamma V\Gamma D$$

$$\subseteq D\Gamma (V\Gamma V\Gamma V)\Gamma D\Gamma (V\Gamma V\Gamma V)\Gamma D + D\Gamma (V\Gamma V\Gamma V)\Gamma V\Gamma D\Gamma (V\Gamma V\Gamma V)\Gamma V\Gamma D$$

$$\subseteq D\Gamma V\Gamma D\Gamma V\Gamma D + D\Gamma V\Gamma V\Gamma D\Gamma V\Gamma V\Gamma D$$

$$\subseteq D + D\Gamma V\Gamma D \subseteq D$$

Consequently, D is a QI of V .

From above theorem (3.29) & followed by lemma (3.18) we have the following result.

Theorem 3.30: If W_1 & W_2 are two ternary subsemirings & W_3 is a BI of a regular TFSS N then

$W_1\Gamma W_2\Gamma W_3$, $W_1\Gamma W_3\Gamma W_2$ & $W_3\Gamma W_1\Gamma W_2$ are QIs of N .

From corollary [3.20] & Th(3.30) the result as follows

Corollary 3.31: For any three QIs W_1, W_2, W_3 of a regular TFSS N , $W_1\Gamma W_2\Gamma W_3$ is QI of N .

Conclusion:

"In this paper we introduce the notions of quasi ideal, bi-ideals of Ternary Γ -SO semirings and obtain characterizations of quasi ideals, minimal quasi ideals and bi-ideals in Ternary Γ -SO semiring".

References

- [1] G.V.S. Acharyulu, Matrix representable So-rings, Semigroup Forum, Springer-Verlag, 46(1993), 31-47, doi: 10.1007/BF02573542.

- [2] M.A. Arbib, E.G. Manes, Partially Additive Categories and Flow-diagram Semantics, *Journal of Algebra*, 62(1980), 203-227.
- [3] T.K. Dutta, S.K. Sardar, Semiprime ideals and irreducible ideals of Γ -semirings, *NoviSad J. Math.*, 30(1)(2000), 97-108.
- [4] Jonathan S. Golan. : *Semirings and their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1999.
- [5] E.G. Manes, D.B. Benson, The Inverse Semigroup of a Sum-Ordered Partial Semiring, *Semigroup Forum*, 31(1985), 129-152, doi: 10.1007/BF02572645.
- [6] M. Murali Krishna Rao, Γ -semirings-I, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, 19(1)(1995), 49-54.
- [7] M. Siva Mala, K. Siva Prasad, Prime & Semi prime Ideals of Γ -So-rings, *International Journal of Pure and Applied mathematics*, Volume 113, No.6, 2017 352-361 .
- [8] SajaniLavanya. M., MadhusudhanaRao. D., and V. Syam Julius Rajendra, *Prime Bi-ternary Γ -Ideals in Ternary Γ -Semirings*-British Journal of Research, Volume 2, Issue 6, November-December, 2015, pp: 156-166.”