

A Common Fixed Point Theorem for Contraction mapping in Generalized Cone b – Metric spaces

¹Rukhamani Kurre, S.K.Tiwari^{2*}

^{1,2*}Dr. C. V. Raman University, Kota, Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh), India-495113

Abstract: In this Manuscript, we prove a common fixed point theorem for Reich type contractions in generalized cone b- metric spaces without assuming normality of cone. Our results improve, extend and generalize the results of Rangamma and Reddy [5].

Keywords: Cone, Solid cone, Fixed point, Common fixed point, Generalized cone b- metric space, Reich contractions.

1. Introduction

The concept of cone metric spaces introduced by Huang and Zhang [1] in the year 2007. The well known generalization of cone metric spaces are cone rectangular metric space [2], cone b- metric space [3]. Recently, George, et al. [4] introduced the concept of generalized cone b- metric space, which generalizes the cone metric space, cone rectangular metric space and cone b -metric spaces. They have also proved the basic version of the fixed point theorem and Kannan type fixed point theorem in generalized cone b- metric space without the assumption that the cone is normal.

Very recently, Rangamma and Reddy [5] proved a fixed point theorem for Reich contractions in generalized cone b- metric spaces, which extend and generalize the results of George, R. et al. [4]. In this paper, we improve, extend and generalize the results of Rangamma and Reddy [5] in generalized cone b- metric spaces by proving a common fixed-point theorem for Reich type contraction mappings in generalized cone b- metric spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1([1]). A subset P of a real Banach space E is called a cone, if it has following properties:

1. P is non empty, closed and $P \neq \{\theta\}$;

2. $a, b \in R, a, b \geq 0, x, y \in P \Rightarrow ax + by \in P;$
3. $P \cap (-P) = \{\theta\}.$

For a given cone $P \subset E$, we can define a partial ordering \leq on E with respect to P by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. We shall write $x < y$, if $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$, while $x \ll y$ will stands for $y - x \in \text{int}(P)$, where $\text{int}(P)$ denotes the interior of P and θ is zero vector in E . A cone P is called solid if $\text{int}(P) \neq \emptyset$. A cone P is called normal if there is a number $k > 1$ such that for all $x, y \in X, \theta \leq x \leq y \Rightarrow \|x\| \leq k\|y\|$. The least positive number k satisfying this condition is called the normal constant of P .

Remark 2.2 (see [7]). Let E be an ordered Banach space with a positive cone P and $a, b, c \in P$. The following properties hold:

1. If $a \leq b$ and $b \leq c$, then $a \leq c$;
2. If $\theta \leq u \ll c$ for each $c \in \text{int}(P)$, then $u = \theta$;
3. If $a \leq b + c$ for each $c \in \text{int}(P)$, then $a \leq b$;
4. If $\theta \leq x \leq y$, and $a \geq 0$, then $\theta \leq ax \leq ay$;
5. If $\theta \leq x_n \leq y_n$ for each $n \in N$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$, then $\theta \leq x \leq y$;
6. If $\theta \leq d(x_n, x) \leq b_n$ and $b_n \rightarrow \theta$, then $d(x_n, x) \ll c$, where x_n and x are a sequence and a give point in X , respectively;
7. If $a \leq \lambda a$, where $a \in P$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, then $a = \theta$;
8. If $c \in \text{int}(P), \theta \leq a_n$ and $a_n \rightarrow \theta$, then there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for all $n > n_0$, we have $a_n \ll c$.

Definition 2.3 (see[1]). Let X be a non empty set, E be a real Banach space, P be a solid cone in E and \leq be a partial ordering with respect to P . Suppose that the mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies:

- (1) $\theta < d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$, if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in X$;
- (3) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone metric on X and the pair (X, d) is called a cone metric space.

Definition 2.4 (see [3]). Let X be a non empty set, E be a real Banach space, P be a solid cone in E and \leq be a partial ordering with respect to P and $s \geq 1$ be a real number. Suppose that the mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ satisfies:

1. $\theta < d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$, if and only if $x = y$;
- (4) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in X$;
- (5) $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, z) + d(z, y)]$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then d is called a cone b- metric on X and the pair (X, d) is called a cone b-metric space.

Definition 2.5 (see [2]). Let X be a non empty set, E be a real Banach space, P be a solid cone in E , \leq be a partial ordering with respect to P and $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ be a mapping such that for all $x, y \in X$ and for all distinct point $w, z \in X$, each distinct from x and y :

1. $\theta < d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$, if and only if $x = y$;
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in X$;
3. $d(x, y) \leq d(x, w) + d(w, z) + d(z, y)$, for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

Then d is called a cone rectangular metric on X and the pair (X, d) is called a cone rectangular metric space.

Definition 2.6 (see [4]). Let X be a non empty set, E be a real Banach space, P be a solid cone in E , \leq be a partial ordering with respect to P and $s \geq 1$ be a real number. Let the map $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ be such that for all $x, y \in X$ and for all distinct point $w, z \in X$, (each distinct from x and y):

1. $\theta < d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$ and $d(x, y) = \theta$, if and only if $x = y$;
2. $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, for all $x, y \in X$;
3. $d(x, y) \leq s[d(x, w) + d(w, z) + d(z, y)]$, for all $x, y, z, w \in X$.

Then d is called a generalized cone b-metric on X and the pair (X, d) is called a generalized cone b- metric space.

Definition 2.7 (see[8]). Let (X, d) be a generalized cone b- metric space with coefficients $s \geq 1$. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be:

1. A convergent sequence if for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for

all $n > n_0$, $d(x_n, x) \ll c$ for some $x \in X$. We say that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to x and we denote this by $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ or $x_n \rightarrow x$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. a Cauchy sequence if for every $c \in E$ with $\theta \ll c$, there exists $n_0 \in N$ such that for all

$$m, n > n_0, d(x_n, x_m) \ll c.$$

3. The generalized cone b- metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent in X .

Definition 2.8 (see[9],[10]). Let (X, d) be a generalized cone b- metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$. A self map $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called Reich contraction if there exists $a, b, c \geq 0$ with $a + b + c < 1/s$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + bd(x, Tx) + cd(y, Ty), \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1: Let (X, d) be a complete generalized cone b- metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$, P be a solid cone in real Banach space E and let $T_1, T_2: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$d(T_1x, T_2y) \leq ad(x, y) + bd(x, T_1x) + cd(y, T_2y) \quad \dots \quad (3.1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and $a, b, c \geq 0$ with $a + b + c < \frac{1}{s}$, then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof: Let x_0 be any arbitrary point of X . We define the sequence $\{x_{2n}\}$ in X such that

$$x_{2n+1} = T_1x_{2n}$$

and

$$x_{2n+2} = T_2x_{2n+1}, \text{ for all } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.1.2)$$

If $x_{2n} = x_{2n+1}$, then $x_{2n} = T_1x_{2n}$, that is x_{2n} is a fixed point of T_1 and if $x_{2n+1} = x_{2n+2}$, then $x_{2n+1} = T_2x_{2n+1}$, that is x_{2n+1} is a fixed point of T_2 . Assume that, $x_{2n} \neq x_{2n+1}$, for all $n \in N$. Then from (3.1.1) it follow that,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) &= d(T_1x_{2n-1}, T_2x_{2n}) \\ &\leq ad(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + bd(x_{2n-1}, T_1x_{2n+1}) + cd(x_{2n}, T_2x_{2n}) \\ &\leq ad(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + bd(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + cd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ &= (a + b)d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + cd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq h d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), \text{ for all } n \in N, \text{ where } h = \frac{a+b}{1-c}.$$

It is easy to see that $0 < h < \frac{1}{s}$. By repeating this process, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) &\leq h d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \\
&\leq h^2 d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-2}) \\
&\leq \dots \leq \\
&\leq h^n d(x_0, x_1) \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1.3}$$

for all $n \in N$, where $0 < h < 1/s < 1$. Using b- rectangular inequality, (3.1.1), (3.1.2) (3.1.3), and the fact that $a + b + c < \frac{1}{s}$, i. e., $a < \frac{1}{s}$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) &= d(T_1 x_{2n-1}, T_2 x_{2n+1}) \\
&\leq ad(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}) + bd(x_{2n-1}, T_1 x_{2n-1}) + cd(x_{2n+1}, T_2 x_{2n+1}) \\
&= ad(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n+1}) + bd(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + cd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\
&\leq as[d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1})] + bd(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \\
&\quad + cd(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})
\end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) &\leq \left[\frac{sa+b}{1-sa} \right] d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + \left[\frac{sa+c}{1-sa} \right] d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) \\
&\leq \left[\frac{sa+b}{1-sa} \right] h^{n-1} d(x_0, x_1) + \left[\frac{sa+c}{1-sa} \right] h^n d(x_0, x_1) \\
&\leq \left[\frac{sa+b}{1-sa} + \frac{sa+c}{1-sa} \cdot h \right] h^{n-1} d(x_0, x_1) \\
&\leq \left[\frac{sa+b}{1-sa} + \frac{sa+c}{1-sa} \right] h^{n-1} d(x_0, x_1) \\
&= \left[\frac{2sa+b+c}{1-sa} \right] h^{n-1} d(x_0, x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2}) \leq \mu h^{n-1} d(x_0, x_1) \dots \tag{3.1.4}$$

for all $n \in N$, where $\mu = \frac{2sa+b+c}{1-sa} \geq 0$. For the sequence $\{x_{2n}\}$, we consider $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2p})$ in to two cases.

Case1. Suppose $2p$ is odd, say $2m + 1$, for $m \geq 1$, then by using b- rectangular inequality (3.1.3) and the fact $sh^2 < 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2m+1}) &\leq s[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2m+1})] \\
 &\leq s[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\
 +s^2[(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) + d(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+4}) + d(x_{2n+4}, x_{2n+2m-1})] \\
 &\leq s[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\
 +s^2[(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) + d(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+4}) + \cdots + s^{2m}d(x_{2n+2m}, x_{2n+2m+1})] \\
 &\leq s[h^n d(x_0, x_1) + h^{n+1}d(x_0, x_1)] + s^2[h^{2n+2}d(x_0, x_1) + h^{2n+3}d(x_0, x_1)] \\
 &\quad + \dots + s^{2m}h^{2n+2m}d(x_0, x_1) \\
 &\leq sh^{2n}[1 + sh^2 + \cdots +]d(x_0, x_1) + sh^{2n+1}[1 + sh^2 + \cdots +]d(x_0, x_1) \\
 &= (1 + h)sh^{2n}[1 + sh^2 + \cdots +]d(x_0, x_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2m+1}) \leq \left(\frac{1+h}{1-sh^2}\right)sh^2d(x_0, x_1), \text{ for all } n \in N. \text{ Let } \theta \ll c \text{ be given.}$$

Since $sh^2 < 1$, we notice that $\left(\frac{1+h}{1-sh^2}\right)sh^2d(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow \theta$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By remark 2.2, for any $c \in \text{int } P$, we find $N_1 \in N$ such that for each $n > N_1$, we have

$$\left(\frac{1+h}{1-sh^2}\right)sh^2d(x_0, x_1) \ll c.$$

Thus, $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2m+1}) \leq \left(\frac{1+h}{1-sh^2}\right)sh^2d(x_0, x_1) \ll c$, for all $n > N_1$ and $m \geq 1$.

Case 2. Suppose $2p$ is even, say $2m$, for $m \geq 1$, then by using b- rectangular inequality, (3.1.3), (3.1.4) and the fact that $sh^2 < 1$, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2m}) &\leq s[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) + d(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+2m})] \\
 &\leq s[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\
 +s^2[(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) + d(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+4}) + d(x_{2n+4}, x_{2n+2m})]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq s[d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2})] \\
&+ s^2[(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+3}) + d(x_{2n+3}, x_{2n+4}) \\
&+ \dots + s^{2m-1}[d(x_{2n+2m-4}, x_{2n+2m-3}) + d(x_{2n+2m-3}, x_{2n+2m-2}) \\
&\quad + d(x_{2n+2m-2}, x_{2n+2m})] \\
&\leq s[h^n d(x_0, x_1) + h^{n+1} d(x_0, x_1)] + s^2[h^{2n+2} d(x_0, x_1) + h^{2n+3} d(x_0, x_1)] \\
&\quad + \dots + s^{2m-1}[h^{2n+2m-4} d(x_0, x_1) + h^{2n+2m-3} d(x_0, x_1)] \\
&\quad + s^{2m-1} \mu h^{2n+2m-3} d(x_{2n+2m-2}, x_{2n+2m}) \\
&\leq sh^{2n}[1 + sh^2 + \dots +]d(x_0, x_1) + sh^{2n+1}[1 + sh^2 + \dots]d(x_0, x_1) \\
&\quad + s^{2m-1} \mu h^{2n+2m-3} d(x_0, x_1) \\
&= (1 + h)sh^{2n}[1 + sh^2 + \dots]d(x_0, x_1) + s^{2m-1} \mu h^{2n+2m-3} d(x_0, x_1)
\end{aligned}$$

That is, $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2m}) \leq \left(\frac{1+h}{1-sh^2}\right) sh^2 d(x_0, x_1) + s^{2m-1} \mu h^{2n+2m-3} d(x_0, x_1)$,

$$\leq \left(s \frac{1+h}{1-sh^2} + s^{2m-1} \mu h^{2m-3}\right) h^{2n} d(x_0, x_1),$$

for all $n \in N, m \in N$, where $\mu \geq 0$. Let $\theta \ll c$ be given. Since, $sh^2 < 1$, we notice that

$\left(s \frac{1+h}{1-sh^2} + s^{2m-1} \mu h^{2m-3}\right) h^{2n} d(x_0, x_1) \rightarrow \theta$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By remark 2.2 for any $c \in \text{int}(P)$, we find $N_2 \in N$ such that

$$\left(s \frac{1+h}{1-sh^2} + s^{2m-1} \mu h^{2m-3}\right) h^{2n} d(x_0, x_1) \ll c, \text{ for all } n > N_1 \text{ and } m \geq 1.$$

Thus, $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2m}) \leq \left(s \frac{1+h}{1-sh^2} + s^{2m-1} \mu h^{2m-3}\right) h^{2n} d(x_0, x_1) \ll c$, for all $n > N_1$ and $m \geq 1$.

Let $N_0 = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Thus for each $c \in \text{int}(P)$, we have $d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+2p}) \ll c$, for all $n > N_0$ and $p \geq 1$. Therefore, $\{x_{2n}\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X . Since, X is a complete generalized cone b- metric space, then there exists $x^* \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_1 x_{2n-1} = x^*, \dots \quad (3.1.5)$$

We shall show that $T_1x^* = x^*$.

Using b- rectangular inequality, (1.3.1),(1.3.2), $b < 1/s$ and for any $n \in N$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_1x^*, x^*) &\leq s[d(T_1x^*, x_{2n}) + d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + d(x_{2n+1}, x^*)] \\ &\leq s[ad(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + d(x^*, T_1x^*) + cd(x_{2n-1}, T_1x_{2n-1})] \\ &\quad + sd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) + sd(x_{2n+1}, x^*) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d(T_1x^*, x^*) &\leq \frac{1}{1-sb} [sad(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + sc d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) + sd(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \\ &\quad + sd(x_{2n+1}, x^*)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-sb} [sad(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + sh^{2n-1}c d(x_0, x_1) + sh^{2n}d(x_0, x_1) + sd(x_{2n+1}, x^*)] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-sb} [sad(x^*, x_{2n-1}) + sh^{2n-1}(c + \mu)d(x_0, x_1) + sd(x_{2n+1}, x^*)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\theta \ll c$ be given. We choose natural numbers N_3, N_4 and N_5 such that

$$d(x_{2n-1}, x^*) \ll \frac{c(1-sb)}{3sa}, \text{ for all } n > N_3,$$

$$d(x_{2n-1}, x^*) \ll \frac{c(1-sb)}{3s}, \text{ for all } n > N_4 \text{ and}$$

$$h^{2n-1}d(x_0, x_1) \ll \frac{c(1-sb)}{3s(c+\mu)}, \text{ for all } n > N_5.$$

Let $N = \max\{N_3, N_4, N_5\}$. Thus for each $c \in \text{int}(P)$, we have

$d(T_1x^*, x^*) \ll c$, for all $n > N$. It follows that, $d(T_1x^*, x^*) = \theta$, that is

$T_1x^* = x^*$. Thus x^* is a fixed point of T_1 in X .

Similarly, we can prove that $T_2x^* = x^*$. Thus, $T_1x^* = x^* = T_2x^*$. Hence, x^* is a common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 in X .

Now to prove uniqueness: Suppose y^* is another common fixed point of T_1 and T_2 in X . Then it follows from (1.3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x^*, y^*) &= d(T_1 x^*, T_2 y^*) \\
&\leq ad(x^*, y^*) + bd(x^*, T_1 x^*) + cd(y^*, T_2 y^*) \\
&= ad(x^*, y^*) + bd(x^*, x^*) + cd(y^*, y^*) \\
&= ad(x^*, y^*)
\end{aligned}$$

$< \frac{1}{s}d(x^*, y^*)$, which is contradiction. Therefore, we must have $x^* = y^*$.

Hence, x^* is a unique common fixed-point of T_1 and T_2 in X . This completes prof of theorem.

With the suitable values of a, b , and c , we obtain the following corollaries on generalized cone b- metric spaces.

Corollary 3.2: Let (X, d) be a complete generalized cone b- metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1, P$ be a solid cone in real Banach space E and let $T_1, T_2: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$d(T_1 x, T_2 y) \leq \alpha [d(x, T_1 x) + d(y, T_2 y)] \quad \dots \quad (3.2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{s} + 1]$, then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point in X .

Corollary 3.3: Let (X, d) be a complete generalized cone b- metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1, P$ be a solid cone in real Banach space E and let $T_1, T_2: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$d(T_1 x, T_2 y) \leq ad(x, y) \quad \dots \quad (3.1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, and where $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$, then T_1 and T_2 have a unique common fixed point in X .

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, where $a, b, c, d \in R, E = M_{n \times n}(R)$ be the space of all real matrices of order $n \geq 1$ and

$P = \{M = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} : a_{ij} \geq 0, \text{ for all } i, j\}$ is a cone E .

Define $d: X \times X \rightarrow E$ such that

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
d(x, y) = d(x, y), \\
d(x, x) = O_{n \times n}, \\
d(a, b) = 0.1 I_n; \\
d(a, c) = d(b, c) = 0.01 I_n; \\
d(a, d) = d(b, d) = d(c, d) = 0.02 I_n
\end{array} \right. \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X;$$

Where I_n is the identity matrix. In this case, (X, d) is not a cone metric space with respect to P . Since $d(a, b) = 0.1 I_n > d(a, c) + d(c, b)$

$$= 0.01 I_n + 0.01 I_n$$

$$= 0.02 I_n \text{ and } (X, d) \text{ is not a cone rectangular metric space with respect to } P.$$

Since $d(a, b) = 0.1 I_n > d(a, c) + d(c, d) + d(c, b)$

$$= 0.01 I_n + 0.02 I_n + 0.02 I_n$$

$$= 0.05 I_n.$$

However, it is easy to see that (X, d) is a complete generalized cone b- metric space with coefficient $s = 2 > 1$.

Further, let $T_1, T_2: X \rightarrow X$ be ant two maps defined by:

$$T_1 x = \begin{cases} c, & \text{if } x \in \{a, b, c\}, \\ b & \text{if } x = d; \end{cases}$$

and

$$T_2 x = \begin{cases} c, & \text{if } x \in \{a, c\} \\ b, & \text{if } x = b \\ d, & \text{if } x = d. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that T_1 and T_2 are satisfy the contraction condition (1.3.1) of theorem 3.1 with $a = \frac{1}{8}, b = \frac{1}{6}, c = 1/8$ and hence $x = c$ is a unique common fixed point of the mappings T_1 and T_2 .

REFERENCES

- [1].Huang, L.G. and Zhang, X. Cone metric spaces and fixed point theorems of contractive mappings, Journal of mathematical Analysis and applications,337,(2007),1468-1476.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.03.087>.
- [2]. Azam, A., Arshad, M. Beg, I. Banach contraction principle on cone rectangular metric spaces, Applicable analysis and discrete mathematics,3,(2009), 236-241.<http://dx.doi.org/10.2298/aadm0902236a>.
- [3]. Hussain, N. Shah, M. H. KKM mappings in cone b- metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl., 62, (2011), 1677-1684.

- [4]. George, R., Hossam, A., Resma, K. P. and Rajagopalan, R. Generalized cone b-metric spaces and contraction principles, *Mathematički vesnik*, 67(4), (2015), 246-257.
- [5]. Rangamma, M. and Reddy, P.M. A fixed point theorem for Reich contractions in generalized cone b-metric spaces, *Int. J. of Mathematical Analysis*, 10(6), (2016), 271-278. [http:// dx.doi.org/10.12988/ ijma.2016.615](http://dx.doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2016.615).
- [6]. Rangamma, M. and Reddy, P.M. some fixed point results for T- weak contractions in generalized cone b-metric spaces, *Int. J. of pure and applied mathematics*, 10(6), (2016), 271-278. [http://dx.doi.org/10.12732/ ijpam. v10i3.1](http://dx.doi.org/10.12732/ijpam.v10i3.1).
- [7]. Jungck, G., Radenovic, S., Radojevic, S. and Rakocevic, V. Common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible pairs on cone metric spaces, *Fixed point theory and applications*, (2009), <http://dx.doi.org/10.11155/2009/643840>.
- [8]. Bakhtin, I. A. The contraction principle in quasi metric spaces, *Func. An. Ulianowsk, Gos.Ped.Ins.* 30, (1989), 26-37.
- [9] Reich, S. Some remarks concerning contraction mappings, *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 14, (1971), 121-124.
- [10]. Malhotra, S. K., Shukla, S., Sen, R. Some fixed point theorems for ordered Reich Type contractions in cone rectangular metric spaces, *Acta Mathematica Universitatis comeniana*, 2, (2013), 165-175.